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Purpose
To describe a theory-informed approach to promoting evidence-based practice in orthopaedic manual physical therapy (OMPT) training in Canada.
IFOMPT Education Standards

Dimension 1: Demonstration of critical and evaluative evidence based practice

Dimension 9: Demonstration of a critical understanding and application of the process of research

Promoting EBP

• Multiple implementation strategies required to promote use of research evidence in clinical decision-making (Garrish & Clayton 2004, Roger 2003)

• Which implementation strategies work?
  • Multi-faceted approach 😊😊😊
  • Didactic lectures 😊
  • Interactive education sessions 😊
  • Opinion leaders 😊
  • Change champions 😊
Canadian Instructor Workshop

- 176 instructors attended
- One-hour session embedded within a one-day workshop devoted to helping instructors understand evidence based practice

Self-Efficacy in EBP Skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How confident are you in your ability to:</th>
<th>Mean % (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Identify an issue in your education (teaching) practice that requires further knowledge or research?</td>
<td>75.2 (16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Effectively search the relevant literature to address a specific clinical question?</td>
<td>71.6 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Critically appraise the literature for quality and relevance?</td>
<td>67.9 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Interpret statistical results</td>
<td>51.5 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Appropriately apply the evidence from the literature to your needs as an instructor?</td>
<td>68.9 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Continually evaluate the effect of your education practice?</td>
<td>62.9 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Delaney 2011
Personal Attitudes towards the use and perceived benefits and limitations of EBP in clinical education (n=64)

- All respondents indicated that evidence-based literature was necessary in education of students

- 98% indicated that literature and research findings were useful and improved the quality of the education practice

92% (n=59) were interested in learning or improving their skills necessary to incorporate evidence into their teaching

Personal Attitudes towards the use and perceived benefits and limitations of EBP in clinical education (n=64)

- 87% (n=56) acknowledged the need to increase the use of evidence in their education and clinical practice

- The responses suggest that evidence and literature was potentially useful to their teaching practice however only 46% (n=30) felt they should be responsible for conducting their own literature reviews

- 67% (n=43) indicated that it was their responsibility to interpret the applicability of the research findings to their students' needs

- 68% (n=44) indicated that they should be responsible for critically evaluating the quality of the literature
Steps of EBP

- Formulating Questions
- Searching the Evidence
- Critical Appraisal
- Integrating the Evidence
- Bringing Change to Practice

Educational principles

- Adult Learners
  - need
  - most motivated by
  - bring experience
  - need to be
  - problem centred learning
  - subjects relevant to them
  - basis for learning
  - including mistakes
  - involved
  - in employment
  - in life
  - in planning instruction
  - in planning evaluation

www.inspired-edu.org
“Speed Dating”
Incorporating EBP

- Divide into 2 groups: interviewers & interviewees
- Interviewers: take 3 minutes to write down 2-3 questions that you could ask someone about their teaching and incorporating evidence. These questions should be able to help you understand how EBP is played out in their clinical practice and teaching.
- Pair up 3 minutes per interview (talk to 3-4 people)

Identifying Barriers and Facilitators
Barriers to Updating Clinical Educational Knowledge
(Delaney C 2011)

Lack of time
Lack of organizational priority
Lack of stats knowledge
Poor appraisal skills
Lack of research skills
Inability to apply findings
Lack of resources
Lack of experienced mentors
Lack of interest/peer support

Case History

46 year old police detective presented with complaints of right hip (groin and buttock) pain becoming more bothersome over the past year
Active individual who liked to golf, play hockey and tennis
Previous treatment included massage therapy
PICO - Clinical Question Worksheet

Searching the Evidence

The Well-Built Patient-Oriented Clinical Question

**Description Components**

- **Patient or Population**: Describe the most important characteristic of the patient.
  - e.g., age, diagnosis, gender
- **Intervention, Prognostic Factor, Exposures**: Describe the main intervention.
  - e.g., drug administration, lifestyle change
- **Comparator or Setting**: Describe the most alternative being considered.
  - e.g., different medications, no treatment in the perspective

The well-built clinical question:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Question</th>
<th>Ideal Type of Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>RCT + Control Study + Case Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostics</td>
<td>Preoperative, patient-centered care, postoperative care, and outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td>Survival, time to event, risk factors, and outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>Systematic review of multiple studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Search Strategy Development

- P
- I
- C
- O

Primary search terms | Synonym 1 | Synonym 2

Welcome to Evidence

APTA
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Cochrane Library
Independent high-quality evidence for healthcare decision making

PubMed

Welcome to Evidence

AHRQ
Welcome to Evidence

Cochrane Library
Independent high-quality evidence for healthcare decision making

PubMed
Looking for Evidence – Searching for Answers

List of Data Bases
Developed by: Alison Hoens, Physical Therapy Knowledge Broker, UBC Department of Physical Therapy, Physiotherapy Association of BC, BC Rehab Sciences Research Network

CRITICAL APPRAISAL SKILLS PROGRAMME
Making sense of evidence about clinical effectiveness

11 questions to help you make sense of a trial

These questions consider the following:

Are the results of the trial valid? (SECTION A)
What are the results? (SECTION B)
Will the results help locally? (SECTION C)

A number of standardised prompts are given after each question. These are designed to remind you why the question is important. There will not be time in the small groups to answer them all in detail!

Critical Appraisal Tool

©CASP This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/
### Group Discussion – Section C

#### C/ Will the results help locally?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Can’t tell</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can the results be applied to the local population?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the patients covered by the trial are similar enough to your population?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were all clinically important outcomes considered?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If not, does this affect the decision?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is unlikely to be addressed by the trial. But what do you think?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Structured Debate
Sample Debate Topic
Physiotherapists must adhere to the clinical prediction rule developed by Flynn/Childs for lumbar spine manipulations.

Tool Kit
• PICO worksheet
• CASP Critical appraisal tool for RCTs
• Compilation of relevant databases (Alison Hoens)
• Critical appraisal tool and guidelines for use in a journal club
• Guidelines for formulating a research question and tips for searching the evidence
Participant Feedback

- Topic was relevant to teaching
- Opportunity to network, share ideas and learn from more senior instructors
- Small group interactions – less intimidating and more interactive
- Presentation on evidence – very simple and applicable
- Group interaction -> speed dating
- I felt practical ideas/intro to research integration teaching tools were given. I actually feel I can do this!
- Needed more time for EBP for it to be useful
- Still finding challenge is integrating evidence into 9 day course
### Strategies for Promoting EBP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Formulating Questions</th>
<th>Searching Evidence</th>
<th>Critical Appraisal</th>
<th>Integrating Evidence</th>
<th>Bringing change to practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical scenarios with worksheets</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case History Platform</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small group exercises in class</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homework assignments</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical mentoring sessions</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-line Research course</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Clubs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Class Debate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

### Addressing IFOMPT Education Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Description of competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1.S1</td>
<td>Demonstrate ability to retrieve, integrate and apply knowledge from the clinical, medical and behavioural sciences in the clinical setting, recognising the limitations of incorporating evidence into practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1.S2</td>
<td>Demonstrate ability to critically review the recent literature of the basic and applied sciences relevant to NMS dysfunction, to draw inferences for OMT practice and present material logically in both verbal and written forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1.S3</td>
<td>Demonstrate an evidence based approach to the assessment and management of patients with NMS dysfunctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>D9.S1</td>
<td>Demonstrate effective critical appraisal of research relevant to OMT Physical Therapy practice as it relates to NMS dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D9.S2</td>
<td>Demonstrate generation of a research question based on a critical evaluation of the current literature relevant to OMT Physical Therapy practice and relating to NMS dysfunction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Self-Efficacy in EBP Skills

Adapted from Delaney 2011

Observations

- Significant difficulty formatting PICO questions that do not pertain to interventions
- Diverse demographics and skills among instructors
- Difficulty with statistical interpretation
- Many barriers to EBP and few facilitators identified
Future Considerations

Consider:
• More longitudinal learning activities
• How to encourage application to their teaching and clinical practices
• How to provide feedback to instructors
• Live searches in a variety of data bases

Thank you!!
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