



Groups

- Group 1** Spain, New Zealand, United States
- Group 2** UK, Greece, Australia, Denmark
- Group 3** Belgium, Switzerland, Finland, France
- Group 4** Canada, Hong Kong, Sweden
- Group 5** Austria, South Africa, Netherlands



International Federation of
Orthopaedic Manipulative
Physical Therapists

MO Workshop

Singapore May 1, 2015

1 - 4 May 2015

World Confederation for Physical Therapy

CONGRESS 2015
Singapore





Introduction


- Welcome to the 15 MOs and the RIGs able to attend
- Focus of the workshop is on the internal processes relating to International Monitoring (IM)
- Summary will be available on the website and available for MOs and RIGs not present



Groups

- Group 1** Spain, New Zealand, United States (RJ)
- Group 2** UK, Greece, Australia, , Denmark (LL)
- Group 3** Belgium, Switzerland, Finland, France (LM)
- Group 4** Canada, Hong Kong, Sweden (JP)
- Group 5** Austria, South Africa, Netherlands (KB)

Task 1 – Visual Map of IM Structure and Processes



- For each individual MO
 - Structure
 - Lines of communication

Task 1 – Visual Map of IM Structure and Processes



- Display your visual map and discuss within the group
 - Similarities
 - Differences
 - Challenges
- Insert one key feedback point from your MO onto your visual map



Summary Slide

1. Examples of good practice
2. Clarity and consistency of lines of communication
3. Identified issues for action
 - MO
 - SC
4. Importance of relationships between MO, Programmes, 'Education Committee' EA and others



Task 2 – Preparation and Support for External Assessor (EA)

Change groups

Discuss in your groups how the MO prepares and supports the External Assessor in their role.

Within your discussion, consider the benefits and any challenges with the process.



Summary Slide

1. Examples of good practice
2. IFOMPT website and SC provide the resources – a specific interactive EA resource area is currently being developed
3. MO is responsible for preparing and supporting their EAs
4. The importance of previous EA and mentoring
5. Ultimately the MO is responsible for the quality of the EA report



Task 3 – Case Analysis

Change Groups

Your MO reviews submissions from its 5 programmes. For two of the submissions, the EA has made a recommendation that the marking criteria need to be further refined.

How would you (MO) manage this?

Provide 3 key points of feedback.



Summary Slide

1. Examples of good practice
2. Importance of the MO structure and lines of communication
3. Consideration of how to communicate resolution of the issue in the IM submission to the SC
4. Consideration of how to provide evidence of resolution of the issue



Summary and Conclusions

Development of Action Plan

